

n.v. AIB-VINÇOTTE International s.a.

Head office: Diamant Building – A. Reyerslaan 80 – B-1030 Brussels Company nr: BE 0462.513.222 – HRB: 621315 – Internet: www.vincotte.com

Safety, quality and environmental services

VINÇOTTE CERTIFICATION

Business Class Kantorenpark - Jan Olieslagerslaan 35 - B-1800 Vilvoorde

Telephone: +32 (0)2/674.57.84 - Fax: +32 (0)2/674.59.62

E-mail: vincotte.certification@vincotte.be - Internet: www.vincotte-certification.com

AUDIT REPORT

AIB-Vinçotte INTERNATIONAL nv,

Brussels - Belgium

VCA:2008-Petro/5.1

SHE Management System Certification Certification audit

Triut Építőipari Kft.

Füredi út 74-76.

1144 BUDAPEST

Lead auditor: Eric Louys

VCA coordinator : Jan Dillen

Audit date: April 27, 2015

Report number: REP-C-14-125/VCA-Petro

Version: May 17, 2015



General appreciation of the management system

The audited organization has established and implemented a quality management system according to the requirements of the reference standard. The audit process was based on a sample of the available information. During the audit, the documentation and the application of the system have been reviewed. No evidence of misuse of the certificate or the Vincotte logo was found.

General conclusion of the auditor about the SHE Management System

- The Management System is still young.
- Good general impression during the worklocation visit.

The documented and implemented safety management system complies with the applicable requirements of the reference standard.

A detailed overview of the observations (positive remarks, opportunities of improvement and non-conformities) is listed in the following chapters.

Conclusion and recommendation

The lead auditor recommends to the Certification Commission to grant the VCA:2008-Petro/5.1 certificate to the audited organization.

Additional remarks or information

Mrs Katalina Domotor (SCC auditor Vinçotte Hungary in training) achieved the audit under supervision by E LOUYS Lead Auditor SCC and Product Manager SCC (Witness audit for qualification SCC auditor).



Page 4 of 18

Report number: REP-C-14-125/VCA-Petro

Company

Organisation

Name of the company Triut Építöipari Kft.

Address Füredi út 74-76.

1144 BUDAPEST

Country Hungary

Name of contact person

Gergely BÁNHEGYI

E-mail of contact person

Fax of contact person

Phone of contact person

The names of the members of Gergely BÁNHEGYI (general manager)

the management board Péter SZEKERES (project manager, H&S representative)

S&H Officer Gergely BÁNHEGYI

Sector 42.21 Construction of utility projects for fluids

The NACE code applicable to the scope of the work (framework of the activities) that fall under the assessed SHE management system.

IF of the sector (B) 27.31

Certified since

Certificate number First Certification
Certificate history First Certification

The scope of the work (framework of the activities) that fall under the assessed SHE management system

Civil engineering works, public utility and traffic construction. Building engineering works.

Explanation about scope changes compared to previous audits



Page 5 of 18

Report number: REP-C-14-125/VCA-Petro

Branches overview

No.	Name of main branch	Address	# employees *	# projects / construction sites **
1.	Triut Építöipari Kft.	Füredi út 74-76.	21	1 - 5

Employees

Number of permanent operational employees	9 FTE
Number of temporary operational employees	0 FTE
Number of supervisors of the operational employees	5 FTE
Number of other (administrative) employees	7 FTE
Number of other (temporary) employees	0 FTE
Total number of employees	21 FTE

^{*}FTE = full time equivalent

Changes

Relevant changes in the organisation NA
Relevant changes in documentation NA

Accidents

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Number of employees (including temporary employees)		9	7	9	42
Number of hours worked		14400	11200	14400	49120
Lost-time accidents/ accidents resulting in absenteeism		0	1	0	0
Accidents resulting in fatalities (a)		0	0	0	0
Number of occupational accidents	0	0	1	0	0
Injury Frequency Rate, IF (b)	0	0	89.29	0	0

⁽a) Fatality: death within 30 days whereby the cause of death is (in part) the result of the injury incurred in the accident

Average IF rate of the last three years: 29.760

⁽b) IF (Injury Frequency) rate: (number of lost-time/absenteeism accidents $(3+4) \times 1,000,000$)/number of hours worked (2)



Audit data

Audit program

Process	Department	CERT 27/04/2015 0.5 day	S1 01/04/2016	S2 01/04/2017
MANAGEMENT		•	•	•
SHE MANAGEMENT		•	•	•
WORKPLACES		•	•	•
HUMAN RESOURCES		•	•	•

✓: Audited

x: Not audited

 $(CERT = Certification \ audit - REN = Renewal \ audit - S1, S2, \dots = Surveilance \ audit \ number \ X - POST = Post-audit)$

Visited main branch

No.	Name of main branch	CERT 27/04/2015	S1 01/04/2016	S2 01/04/2017
1.	Triut Építőipari Kft.	•		



Certification audit (27/04/2015)

Audit objectives

Evaluation of the correct application of the management system based on the standard. Evaluation of the efficient application of the management system.

VCA coordinator

Jan Dillen

Audit time

Name / Role	Item	Quoted days	Performed days	Reason of change
Lead auditor Eric Louys	Preparation on site	0.50	0.50	
Lead auditor Eric Louys	Audit	2.00	2.00	

Work locations

Name	Town/City	Branch	Activity
TVK MOL	Tiszaújváros	Triut Építöipari Kft.	Civil engineering works

Schedule

Date	Start	End	Process/department
27/04/2015	08:30	09:00	Opening meeting/
27/04/2015	09:00	10:00	MANAGEMENT/
27/04/2015	10:00	12:00	SHE MANAGEMENT/
27/04/2015	12:00	13:00	Break/
27/04/2015	13:00	18:00	MANAGEMENT/
28/04/2015	08:30	17:00	WORKPLACES/
29/04/2015	08:30	10:00	SHE MANAGEMENT/
29/04/2015	10:00	11:00	HUMAN RESOURCES/
29/04/2015	11:00	12:00	Auditor(s) meeting/
29/04/2015	12:00	12:30	Closing meeting/



Results

No.	Question		Min. req.			Docur	nents		Assessment	
			Total	Approved	СК	Total	Approved	СК	Question	СК
СН. ⁻	1: VGM-beleid en -organisatie, betrokkenhe	eid van de dir	ectie							
1.1	Has the company drawn up a SHE policy statement ?	mandatory	4	3	•	1	1	80	complete, see remark	80
1.2	Has the company appointed a Safety and Health Officer?	mandatory	4	4	10	3	3		complete	86
1.3	Is the organization in the possession of a SHE structure?	mandatory	3	3	10	2	2	10	complete	84
1.4	Are managers appraised in terms of safety, health and the environment?		4	0	•	2	0	1	incomplete	89
1.5	Is the Management Board actively involved in SHE?	mandatory	2	2	10	3	2	•	complete, see remark	
1.6	Does the Management Board carry out assessments of compliance with SCC requirements?	mandatory	2	2	10	2	2	80	complete, see remark	
1.7	Is an objective formulated and follow-up with respects to the IF for lost time accidents?	mandatory	4	4	10	2	1	•	complete, see remark	
1.8	Are SHE targets formulated and implemented?	mandatory	4	4	10	2	1	•	complete, see remark	:6
СН. 2	2: VGM-risicobeheer									
2.1	Is the company in the possession of an up- to-date SHE risk inventory and evaluation?	mandatory	8	8	10	6	6	10	complete, see remark	100
2.2	Do task-risk analyses take place?	mandatory	5	5	10	3	3	10	complete, see remark	:6
2.3	Are LMRA's carried out before commencing work?	mandatory	2	2	10	1	1	10	complete	e de
2.4	Is the appropriate personal protective equipment issued, maintained and replaced free of charge?	mandatory	4	4	10	2	2	80	complete, see remark	
СН. 3	3: Opleiding, voorlichting en instructie									
3.1	Are all employees in the possession of vocational training and experience relating to the work they are to carry out?	mandatory	2	2	10	2	2	80	complete	:0
3.2	Are all operational employees in the possession of "a Basic Elements of Safety SCC" diploma, testimonial, or certificate?	mandatory	1	1	10	1	1	86	complete	86
3.3	Are all operational supervisors in the possession of a 'Safety for Operational Supervisors SCC' diploma,testimonial, or ce	mandatory rtificate?	1	1	10	2	2	10	complete	:6
3.4	Are all employees in the possession of specific knowledge and expertise pertaining to high-risk tasks or work in a high-risk tasks hign-risk environment to be performed by the company?		3	3	:6	2	2	:•	complete, see remark	84
3.5	Does the company provide specific inhouse SHE information and instructions?	mandatory	3	3	16	3	3	10	complete	86

Page 11 of 18

Report number: REP-C-14-125/VCA-Petro

3.6	Is there an adequate procedure for the correct management and completion of the Personal Safety Logbook (where relevant)?	mandatory	0	0	80	0	0	80	complete, see N.B.	80
3.7	Can communications pertaining to SHE issues be effected without language impediments?	mandatory	1	1	:•	0	0	100	complete	86
3.8	Are the employees cognizant with the internal regulations/procedures at the principals where they will work, such when requirements is explicitly specified and the rinformation is made available by the principal	ecessary	1	1	:6	1	1		complete	86
CH. 4	4: VGM-bewustzijn									
4.1	Does the company conduct SHE consultations?	mandatory	3	3		2	2	86	complete, see remark	86
4.2	Has the company introduced a programme for the improvement of SHE awareness and SHE conduct?	mandatory	3	3	:6	2	2	80	complete, see remark	80
CH. 5	5: VGM-projectplan									
5.1	Does the company make use of SHE project plan?	mandatory	3	3	:•	4	4	80	complete, see remark	80
5.2	Do the employees receive instructions about the contents of the SHE project plan?	mandatory	3	3	80	2	2	80	complete	80
5.3	Do the employees of subcontractors receive instructions about the contents of the SHE project plan?	mandatory	0	0		0	0	80	complete, see N.B.	80
5.4	Is the SHE project plan submitted to the principal for discussion?	mandatory	2	2		1	1		complete	
5.5	Is a Safety and Health Coordinator appointed for each project?	mandatory	4	4		2	2	80	complete, see remark	8
СН. 6	6: Milieuzorg									
6.1	Are sufficient preventive environmental- protection measures implemented which as focused on the prevention of soil pollution a management?		3	3	10	3	3	80	complete, see remark	8
6.2	Has the company appointed an Environmental Officer?	additional	3	3	80	2	2	86	complete	8
CH. 7	7: Voorbereiding op noodsituaties									
7.1	Is the company prepared for an effective response to emergency situations?	mandatory	6	6	8	1	1		complete	
7.2	Have the employees assigned responsabilities in emergency situations received the commensurate training?		1	1	:6	1	1	100	complete	8
CH. 8	B: VGM-inspecties									
8.1	Are periodic workplace inspections carried out by the operational supervisors?	mandatory	4	4		2	2	80	complete, see remark	8
8.2	Are trend-analyses performed on the shortcomings encountered during inspections?	mandatory	2	1	*	2	2	80	complete, see remark	8
CH. 9	9: Bedrijfsgezondheidszorg									
9.1	Are employees in given positions and/or employees to be deployed at specific workplaces subjected to an examination of suitability?	mandatory their medical	3	3	100	3	3	86	complete	86



Page 12 of 18

Report number: REP-C-14-125/VCA-Petro

9.2	Have specifications been drawn up of the employees in given positions who are required to undergo periodic medical examinations in view of the exposure risks associated with those positions?	6	6	10	3	3	:6	complete	:6
9.3	Are employees offered an opportunity to consult with a qualified medical expert?	2	2	:6	1	1	80	complete	:
9.4	Does the company conduct a policy which stipulates that employees can be offered modified duties subsequent to an accident?	3	3	10	2	2	:6	complete, see remark	:6
CH. 1	0: Aanschaf en keuring van materialen, arbeidsmiddele	n en PB	вм						
10.1	Does the company purchase materials, work equipment and personal protective equipment that are justifiable from a SHE perspective?	4	4	10	2	2	:•	complete, see remark	:•
10.2	Are the work equipment and personal protective equipment inspected at periodic intervals?	6	6	10	3	3	10	complete, see remark	10
CH. 1	1: Inkoop van diensten								
11.1	In the event of use of subcontractors, are procedures in place which ensure for compliance with all the relevant SCC requirements (see Annex B) at the workplace?	0	0		0	0	:•	complete, see N.B.	
11.2	Are subcontractors who are frequently contracted to carry out work subjected to structural assessments ansd selection on the basis of their SHE performance?	0	0		0	0	:6	complete, see N.B.	:•
11.3	Are temporary employees hired from temporary employment agencies with SCT certification tou carry out high-risk work?	0	0	10	0	0	10	complete, see N.B.	10
CH. 1	2: Melding, registratie en onderzoek van incidenten								
12.1	Is the company in the possession of a procedure for the notification and registration of employees accidents resulting/not resulting in lost time?	4	4		2	2		complete, see remark	80
12.2	Does the company investigate accidents resulting/note resulting in lost time?	4	4		3	3	10	complete	:
12.3	Is the investigative method used to investigate accidents resulting/not resulting in lost time, based on the determination of the underlying causes?	1	1	10	1	1	:6	complete	:6
12.4	Is the company in the possession of a procedure for the notification and registration and registration of near-accidents and other accidents?	3	3	10	1	1	:6	complete, see remark	:6
12.5	Does the company investigate near-accidents and other SHE incidents.	3	3	10	3	3	86	complete, see remark	80

N.B.:

- 3.6: The question is answered in the affirmative when the company does not use Personal Safety Logbooks
- 5.3: This question is answered in the affirmative in the event that no subcontractors are called in
- 11.1: score is positief indien geen onderaannemers worden ingeschakeld.
- 11.2: score is positief indien geen onderaannemers worden ingeschakeld.
- 11.3: score is positief indien door het bedrijf geen uitzendkrachten worden ingeleend.



Analysis

Analysis of number of substantiations, minimum requirements met and document					
	Max. number	Scored	Consequence		
Compulsory questions	43	43	Not all compulsory questions have been scored - no nomination		
Supplementary questions (necessary: 2)	4	3	When insufficient supplementary questions are scored (see necessary) - no nomination		

On the basis of the number of scored compulsory questions and supplementary questions the company should **BE** nominated for certification.

Substantiations					
	Max. number	Scored	Consequence		
Substantiation for targets	47	47	If not all substantiations have been		
Substantiation for minimum requirements	25	25	entered then the report cannot be accepted		

On the basis of the number of entered substantiations the company should **BE** nominated for certification

Minimum requirements and documents 'not approved' for questions awarded a score					
	Compulsory questions	Supplementary questions			
No. of min. requirements not approved	2	0	Sound substantiation (under notes)		
No. of documents not approved	3	0	must be enclosed for any minimum requirements/documents that are not approved AND the SCC coordinator must give explicit approval (see SCC coordinator's authorisation)		



Remarks

Stage 1

	CERT	S1	S2
Internal audits	~		
Management review	~		
Complaint handling	~		
Reviews of changes			
Usage of logos and certificate	•		
Improvement projects			
Testing effectiveness of measures for identified deficiencies			
Testing effectiveness of measures for proposed improvement points			
Follow-up legislation	•		

Reviewed documents

Organization Chart February 2015 SHE Manuel Management Review report Follow-up of legislation

Findings

No remarks about the documentation

Review internal audits of sub-branches (if applicable)



Page 15 of 18

Report number: REP-C-14-125/VCA-Petro

AP: aandachtspunt

A: afwijking

MV: mogelijkheid tot verbetering

PP: positief punt

BO: bijkomende opmerking REQ: Requirements

Audit: 27/04/2015 - REQ: 3.4

Remark:

Listed registers is not available for Hungary.

Audit: 27/04/2015 - REQ: 11.1

Remark:

In case of VCA is required on the workplace all question of annex B should handle as relevant question. Potential subcontractors should be systematically notify and improve to be able to comply VCA requirements.

Audit: 27/04/2015 - REQ: 4.1

Remark:

should be followed up that all employees are attending to consultations and toolbox meetings.

Audit: 27/04/2015 - REQ: 1.1

Remark:

The policy statement was issued on 26/01/2015, therefore it has not been reviewed yet. In the procedure for management review the SHE policy review is listed as input.

Audit: 27/04/2015 - REQ: 9.4

Remark:

No accidents has happened since 2012.

Audit: 27/04/2015 - **REQ:** 8.2

Remark:

Annual trend analyses, inclusive of the measures to be implemented in the yearly management review and on the agenda of SHE meetings, SHE consultations, however due to the system is very new no trend analyses yet made.



Page 16 of 18

Report number: REP-C-14-125/VCA-Petro

Audit: 27/04/2015 - REQ: 12.1

Remark:

No accident was since 2012.

Audit: 27/04/2015 - REQ: 1.5

Remark:

There was no accident since 2012 therefore there was no trend analysis. This point is to be discussed during management review.

Audit: 27/04/2015 - REQ: 1.1

Remark:

The policy statement was issued on 26/01/2015, therefore it has not been reviewed yet. In the procedure for management review the SHE policy review is listed as input.

Audit: 27/04/2015 - REQ: 1.7

Remark:

Implemeted in the management review procedure - no evaluation yet.

Audit: 27/04/2015 - REQ: 1.8

Remark:

The evalutaion of targets is a point in the monthly SHE meetings + the management review.

AP | Audit: 27/04/2015 - **REQ:** 10.1

Remark:

Purchase proceed should be more precise, avoid cases when someone just popping into the shop to buy materials, PPEs etc.

AP | Audit: 27/04/2015 - **REQ:** 12.5

Remark:

Corrective and preventive measures should be handled in a way that can be followed up and closed easily.

AP | Audit: 27/04/2015 - **REQ:** 12.4

Remark:

All near-accidents / unsafety situations are registered by the safety officer not by the workers.



Page 17 of 18

Report number: REP-C-14-125/VCA-Petro

AP | Audit: 27/04/2015 - **REQ:** 10.2

Remark:

All equipment should have label/marking of the expiry date.

AP | Audit: 27/04/2015 - REQ: 4.2

Remark:

Methode and training should be given to all related emlpoyees.

AP | Audit: 27/04/2015 - **REQ:** 2.2

Remark:

Task risk assessment should be more detailed defined in the VCA-02 procedure. Each task risk assessment should be up to date with all changes. Task risk assessment should be less general.

AP | Audit: 27/04/2015 - REQ: 2.1

Remark:

However the documentation should be more flexible for modification + risks should be evaluated without PPE-s and with protection. There should be clear link between the risk assessment and the procedure for PPEs.

AP | Audit: 27/04/2015 - REQ: 1.6

Remark:

During the internal audit of VCA requirements minimum requirements and required documents should be stated in detail and with evidence.

AP | Audit: 27/04/2015 - REQ: 2.4

Remark:

PPE procedure should be more in line with risk assessment. Special requirement of principal should be highlighted.

AP | Audit: 27/04/2015 - **REQ:** 5.1

Remark:

Project plan for defined projects should contain all information up to date.



Page 18 of 18

Report number: REP-C-14-125/VCA-Petro

AP | Audit: 27/04/2015 - **REQ:** 6.1

Remark:

The collection place of the glue polluted material should be clarified with MOL.

AP | Audit: 27/04/2015 - **REQ:** 5.5

Remark:

Project specific organization chart should be prepared with names and functions decide the general organization chart and the list of employees.

AP | Audit: 27/04/2015 - REQ: 8.1

Remark:

In case of problems corrective actions should be handled separately for better follow up.

Questions should be numbered therefore trend analysis can be made out of the result of the inspections.